



## NATIVE LANGUAGE COMMUNITY COORDINATION

### NLCC Cohort Evaluation Technical Assistance: Guidelines to Writing Your Findings

Guidelines to Writing Your Findings		
Findings Needing Improvement	Critique	Improved Findings
“We conducted outreach.”	This response is too vague and does not provide concrete reporting of your activities ( <i>e.g., does not provide a timeframe for when the activity occurred</i> ).	“During 2018-2019 reporting period, we conducted outreach in five (5) locations; one (1) location in each of the proposed five (5) communities. On average, we reached approximately 100 participants at each event” <b>OR</b> , “During the 2018-2019 reporting period, we provided the community with 19 outreach events/activities, which were attended by 811 youth and 113 elders.”
“Those who attended our outreach events reported that they enjoyed themselves, gaining an interest in learning the language and culture.”	This finding could be viewed as anecdotal and needs to be supported by data.	“According to the community survey that attendees completed after our events, more than 90 percent (93.0%) of attendees reported their overall experience as <i>good or excellent</i> ” <b>OR</b> , “The majority (87.5%) of attendees reported that the event <i>increased</i> their interest in learning the language and culture.”
“During the reporting period, volunteers provided assistance in completing grant activities.”	This finding would be more meaningful if it were quantified.	“During the 2018-2019 reporting period, 23 volunteers provided 492 volunteer hours creating five new learning resources, such as a language guide for household items, for camps and outreach events. There were 10 adult chaperones assisting at 14 camp sessions, providing a total 212 volunteer hours.”